

BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48304-2856

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. PONTBRUAND 09/493,530 01/28/08 60.158-307 **EXAMINER** IM31/0803 WILLIAM S GOTTSCHALK THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1400 NORTH WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 101 1772.

DATE MAILED:

08/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/493,530

Applicant(s)

PONTBRIAND et al

Examiner

Sandra Nolan

Art Unit

1772

The MAILING DATE f this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>Jul 12, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RC compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) X The period for reply expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	ı
2. X The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.	l
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);	
(c) (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  the 35 USC 112 rejection.	
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submace separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).	nitted in
6. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ equest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  (See the enclosed attachment.)	
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection.	by
8. 🛛 For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claim(s) allowed: None	
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i>	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4-8, and 10-12</u>	
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Exa	miner.
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
11. Other:	

Art Unit: 1772

#### ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

#### Claims

1. Following entry of the amendment in the response dated July 12, 2001 (Paper No. 8), claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 10-12 are pending.

### Rejections Withdrawn

2. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 1, 2, and 4-7 as indefinite, as recited in paragraph 10 of the Final Rejection dated May 11, 2001 (Paper No. 7), is withdrawn in view of Applicants' comments in Paper No. 8.

#### Rejections Maintained

- 3. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 1, 4-8 and 10-12 as unpatentable over Anderson et al in view of the Crea Nova bulletin, as stated in par. 12 of the October 23, 2000 Office Action (Paper No. 4) and repeated in par. 7 of Paper No. 7, is maintained for reasons of record.
- 4. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 2 as unpatentable over Anderson et al in view of the Crea Nova bulletin and Sakakibara et al (US 4,268,542), as recited in par. 13 of paper No. 4 and repeated in par. 8 of Paper No. 7, is maintained for the reasons already given.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed in Paper No. 8 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### The 35 USC 112 Rejection

The 35 USC 112 rejection has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/493,530 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

# The 35 USC 103 Rejections

On page 3 of Paper No. 8, Applicants restated their previous argument that there is no motivation to employ the Crea Nova VESTOSINT particles in the Anderson et al coatings, alleging that there is no benefit to such use.

The Examiner disagrees. The Crea Nova bulletin states that their particles provide:

- . improved film properties in coating systems (page 1, line 5)
- . the use of smaller amounts of binder (page 1, line 6)
- . structure in coatings (page 4, line 2)
- . improved abrasion resistance of coatings (page 4, line 2).

The Examiner finds that any one of these provides both motivation for and benefit derived from the use of the Crea Nova particles in the Anderson et al coatings.

Applicants argue that (1) Anderson et al's coating is used to give corrosion resistance, (2) the Crea Nova particles impart structure and abrasion resistance and (3) somehow the combination of (1) and (2) could not provide a benefit to the Anderson et al coatings.

The Examiner disagrees. The addition of structure and abrasion resistance to the Anderson et al coatings would give them more integrity and prevent their abrasion. It is difficult to understand how these properties would not be considered beneficial.

## Final Rejection

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/493,530 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is (703) 308-9545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone number for the art unit is (703) 305-5408. The telephone number for the receptionist is (703) 308-0661.

SMN/smn August 1, 2001

August 1, 20

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

8/1/01